PRESERVED

App. F. IX-4

193 .

File No. 55-29

Previous References
A.V 55-29 (1-7)

(A.V)

Serial Nos. 8-10

PRESERVED

Later References
A.V 36-31 (1-4)
A.V 11-31 (1-6)
Z/186-345/1-2
Z/111-35-1/2-3

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Three

Part B for July 31

SUBJECT.

Revised allocation of Veterinary Inspectors of the Civil Veterinary Department

---

D 229-30 & 13.7.31

To

Dir of Agri ii Comptr Rev. Pl.

Sanction Vii

With effect from 1.4.31

Serial No.	
	From The Dir-of Agri. No. Roc 746/28-29 d 23/3/31
8	Requests for reasons stated revised allocation of Vet. Insp^rs involving an extra cost of about Rs 600 during the current year Submitted with ref^ces. The pay of the Vet. Insp^rs was fixed according to M.S.R. & not according to the principles referred to by the Dir. Vide paras 60 to 75 of the notes & orders in the C.V. collection 55-29-(1-7) put up below. There seems to be no reason for reconsideration. Hitto Kg 2 Please compare the present proposals 31/3/31 with those suggested on pp 4-7, 14-18 of file No. 55-29 (1-7) below & note differences if any. As regards others also, on which the pay suggested is in accordance with the principles laid down (a) Ca 19/4
3	A statement showing the similarity or difference of pay of the various Veterinary Inspectors as between the recommendation made by this office (in the notes of C.V. File No 55-29, Sls. 1-7) and the present proposals of the Director of Agriculture is put up as ordered above. As regards the other Vety Inspectors it is seen from the detailed statement contained in the above collection that there

Flag L

" H

" S

" H

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—8-7 30

6807

U.V. File No. 55 of 1929. | General and Revenue Secretariat

pay as now proposed by the Director is in accordance with the principles enunciated in this office letter of 23rd May 1930 except in the case of Inspectors mentioned in Sl. Nos. 46 to 64 of the ~~All~~ cases quoted by the Director in whose case no attenuation of pay is said to be required. Initial for orders.

MR. 16.4.31. 17 The cases of all these officers were considered in detail at the time of the allocation of the new grade (vide flag N in file No. C.V. 55-29 placed below), and the pay on which they may be reasonably clashed was also suggested by the Dy. Secy (Mr. Rama Rao). The Ft. Sec., however, opined that the pay admissible under the M.V.P. might be first fixed and then separate proposals might be submitted for endorsement; to remedy stagnation, hardship etc. (vide flags 66 in file C.V. 55-29). These proposals have now been received. From the statement put up (Flag L) it will be seen that the present proposals are more modest than even the Dy. Secy's proposals mentioned above. They may, perhaps, be cancelled. Source for meeting the extra cost of Rs 600 has also been pointed out.

Gen. Secy 5 / 22/4

3rd Member Hus' / 23/4/31

Fin Secy 24.4.31

I shall be obliged to compliance remarks on the proposed allowance 2.5.31

X3979 / 24/4/31 8929

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 12 MAY 1931 DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

6807

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat — G.V. File No. 55 of 1929.

Serial No.

7 Forwarded with compliments to the Comptroller for favour of remarks

By

Chairman

For General Secretary

5/5/31

No:460 Bi A/2-6-31.; U.O. 453 Sp & 5.6.31

8 Secretariat letter No.D.13000/C.V.55-29-5 dated 23-5-30 on which the present proposals of the Department are stated to be based, has not been received in this office. A copy was informally obtained and the statement verified with reference to the principles mentioned in it.

2.9 The pay of Messrs.N.R.Srinivasa Iyengar and G.Subramaniyer has been fixed at Rs.120/- each ignoring the small increase of Re.3/- raising their pay from Re.97 to Re.100 which they have drawn for 1 year and 8½ months and 0-7½ months respectively previous to 30th ~~June~~ March 1929 and both of them have been treated as on Rs.97/- up to 30-3-29, as otherwise their position will be worse than those who were actually drawing Rs.97/- on 30-3-29.

3.10 The pay of Veterinary Inspectors on other Duty (Vide Sl.Nos.5,7,13,14 and 15 of the statement of the Department) in the Cadre has also to be fixed as they still retain their lien in the Cadre. It is not understood why this has not been done.

Deputy Comptroller. 4.6.31

Recd 6067/1930-31 6.5.1931

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE U.O. 5588 6.5.31 JAD A II B BANGALORE.

No.113 Bi A/7-5-31

M 3979

282 20/6

Seni. dam. with desi. letter 25.6.31

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT NOTE Contn. Sheet 29 JUNE 1931 DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

WD 02553—30,000—GPB—8.7.30

11 12.6.31 12 13/6.31 The p.p. may be kept 13/6 hub up. 24/6

C.V. File No. 55 of 1929

General and Revenue Secretariat

From the Dir. of Agri.
No. Roc 746 (728-1 of 29-30 dt 25/6/31
in continuation of Sl 8 Submits a list of Vet. Surgs with proposed pay who have been on other duty for incorporation in the allocation Sl 11.
13 Subd for orders with ref^ce to para 12 above. The previous papers are put up.
Hlto KD 29/6/31

14 Ce 30/6

15 AD 30.6.31

16 Maybe sanctioned.
h 2.7.31.

17 Dft Subd
Hlto KD 4/7/31
18 Opn for approval Ce 6/7
(Secy to 1st Member)

19 7 7 31
Ce 8/7

20 Mr 9/7/31 D
9.7.

21 Secy Ce 9/7

Draft of Govt communicating the order Submtd.
23 Done
14/7/31
13/7/31

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL
8 JULY 1931
No. 1145

7

X. 4827
30/6/31

DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

X 95
13/7/31
Secy informed.

8261

App. F. 1

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 5-5 of 1929

Serial No. 10

To, The Working Agency
The Comptroller, Civil Aviation.

No. J 229-30 dated 13/7/31

Sanctioning the proposals of the Working Agency re: the granting a revised pay to certain Inspectors of the C.A.D.

GEN. & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
24 JULY
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

WD 01563—G PB—50,000—8-7-30

Statement showing the similarity or difference of pay of
and as now proposed by the Director of Agriculture as p

No.	Name of Vety Inspector.	As recommended by Thi office with effect from 30.3.2
1.	Mr. R. N. Patankar.	Rs. 145 in the new time scale of Rs. 60-5-150. from 30.3.29.
2.	" G. Narayana Iyengar	— Do —
3.	" N. R. Srinivasiengar	Rs. 110 — Do —
4.	" N. Krishna Iyengar	Rs. 115 — Do —
5.	" G. V. Govinda Rao	Rs. 105 — Do —
6.	" M. Sundaranajiengar	Rs. 110 — Do —
7.	" B. Lakshmipathi	O. O. D. (Offg. Supdt Animl Husbt Dept).
8.	" M. Ramaswami Naidu	Rs. 95 from 30.3.29.
9.	" G. Ranganna	Rs. 110 — " —
10.	" N. Krishnamurthi	Rs. 100 — " —
11.	" M. R. Henchiah	Rs. 95 — " —
12.	" D. Chandu	Rs. 95 — " —
13.	" T. V. Venkatachalam	Rs. 90 — " —

Veterinary Inspectors in the State as previously recommended by this office principle laid down in this office letter No. D 000 of 23.5.30. Page 8

As proposed by the Director of Agriculture with effect from 30.3.31.	Remarks.
Rs. 15 0 with effect from 30.3.31.	The pay proposed will be less by Rs 5 ~~if 2 incre~~ if 2 increments are sanctioned from 1929 to 30.
Rs. 10 0	His pay was ordered to be reduced to Rs. 100 in G.O. No. D. 8212-3/4.V.21-30-9 d. 7.2.31 (Page 1)
Rs. 12 0	The pay will be the same in both cases if 2 increments are sanctioned.
Rs. 12 0	The pay proposed will be less by Rs. 5 if the increments are sanctioned.
Rs. 105	The pay proposed will be less by Rs. 10 if 2 increments are sanctioned.
Rs. 12 0	The pay will be the same in both cases if 2 increments are sanctioned.
Rs.	
Rs. 90	The proposed pay will be less by Rs. 15 if 2 increments are sanctioned.
Rs. 115	The proposed pay will be less by Rs. 5 if 2 increments are sanctioned.
Rs. 105	
Rs. 95	The proposed pay will be less by Rs. 10 if 2 increments are sanctioned.
Rs. 95	
Rs. 95	The proposed pay will be less by Rs. 5 if 2 increments are sanctioned.

No.	Name of Veterinary Inspector	As recommended by this office with effect from 30-9-29.
14.	Mr. A. Y. Prabhakar.	Rs. 80 from 30-9-29.
15.	" H. Y. Kulkarni	——do—— do——
16.	" P. K. Yhama Rao	——do—— do——
17.	" K. N. Kailasam	——do—— do——
18.	" B. Yhik Peer	——do—— do——
19.	" Y. Aswatha Yastry	——do—— do——
20.	" P. Y. Yhama Rao	——do—— do——
21.	" Y. V. Ramiah	——do—— do——
22.	" A. Nanjundiah.	——do—— do——
23.	" V. Y. Rajagopalachudalian.	——do—— do——
24.	" Y. Narayanamurthi	——do—— do——
25.	" K. Yirikantiah	——do—— do——
26.	" V. Jagannathan	——do—— do——
27.	" Y. Kalyana Yundanam	~~Rs. 65~~ do—— do——

As regards cases (Sl. Nos) 46 to 64 the Director of Agriculture

~~Names~~

As proposed by the Director of Agriculture from 30.3.31.	Remarks.
Rs. 90 from 30.3.31.	The ~~proposed~~ pay will be the same in both cases if 2 increments are sanctioned.
___ do ___ do.	___ do ___ do ___
___ do ___ do.	___ do ___ do ___
___ do ___ do.	___ do ___ do ___
___ do ___ do.	___ do ___ do ___
___ do ___ do.	___ do ___ do ___
Rs. 95 from 30.3.31.	The proposed pay will be Rs 5 more if 2 increments are sanctioned.
Rs. 90 ___ do ___.	The pay will be the same in both cases if 2 increments are sanctioned.
___ do ___ do ___.	___ do ___ do ___
___ do ___ do ___.	___ do ___ do ___
___ do ___ do ___.	___ do ___ do ___
___ do ___ do ___.	___ do ___ do ___
___ do ___ do ___.	___ do ___ do ___
Rs. 70 ___ do ___.	The proposed pay will be less by Rs. 5 if 2 increments are sanctioned

Notes that no attenuation of pay is required in those cases.

D 6807 C.V. 55-29-8

Telegraphic Address : "Agri., Bangalore"
Code : 5th Edition A. B. C.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
MYSORE STATE.

In reply please quote in full the Nos. and indicative symbol given below.

Roc. 346/28-29./Encl. 1

BANGALORE,
March 28 1931

FROM

LESLIE C. COLEMAN, ESQ., M.A., PH.D.,
DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To

N. Rama Rao, Esq.,B.A.,B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Development Departments, Bangalore.

SIR,

Adverting to Government Order No.D.2620-21/C.V.55-29-7, dated 3rd September 1930, sanctioning the allocation of the Veterinary Inspectors with effect from the 30th March 1929, I have the honour to invite reference to your letter No.D.13000-C.V.55-29-5, dated 23rd May 1930, and to state that the pay fixed in the Government Order of 3rd September 1930 in the case of several Veterinary Inspectors is lower than what it would be according to the principle enunciated in your letter of the 23rd May 1930. The enclosed is a/statement comparative which shows the rates of pay as sanctioned with those according to the principles laid down by you. The case of Mr. N.R. Sreenivasaiengar is a particularly important one as according to the rate sanctioned he draws very much less than men decidedly his juniors, notwithstanding the fact that his work has been very favourably reported upon. I feel sure Government will desire to remove the discrimination against him which at present exists. I request you to be so good as to obtain very early sanction of Government for the revised allocation of pay to enable the officers to get the benefit of the reorganization and draw the pay as revised with effect from 30-3-1931. These proposals involve an extra cost of about Rs.600/- which may be met out of the savings accruing under 'Establishment charges' due to certain vacancies of Veterinary Inspectors not being filled up for some time, as indicated in the margin.*

	Permanent Mobile Corps.	Temporary Mobile Corps.
November '30.	174	nil.
December'30.	207	nil.
January '31.	nil.	225
Total.	381	225

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Director of Agriculture.

dsr(3)

Con
21/3.

Sl.No.	Name.	Pay on 30-3-31 as per allocation sanctioned in G.O.No. D.2620-21/C.S.55-29-7 of 3-9-30.	Pay on 30-3-31 as per principles laid down in G.O.No.D. 13000-C.V.55-29-5 of 23-5-30.	Extra cost involved during 1930-31.	
1	2	3	4	5	6
	Messrs.				
1.	B.V.Venkatachar	..			Since confirmed as Asst. Superintendent
2.	R.M.Pathankar	110	120	20	
3.	C. Narayana Iyengar.	150	100 *	180	*Since reduced to 100 vide G.O No.D.8212-3/C.V 21-30-9 dated 7-2-31.
4.	N. Krishna Iyengar.	110	120	20	
5.	S.D.Achar.	O.O.D. as Assistant.Superintendent.			
6.	N.R.Sreenivasaiengar.	100	120	20	
7.	S.R.Bapu.	O.O.D. Forest Department.			
8.	G.Subramaniayar.	105	120	30	
9.	L.V.Shellam Iyengar.	105	120	30	
10.	C.V. Govinda Rao.	90	105	30	
11.	C. Ranganna.	105	115	20	
12.	M.Sundarrajaiengar.	105	120	30	
13.	B. Lakshmipathy.	O.O.D. Amrit Mahal Department.			
14.	P.U.Nair.	do.	Assistant Superintendent.		
15.	K. Ranganatha Rao.	do.	do.		
16.	N. Krishna Murthi.	95	105	20	
17.	M.R. Kenchiah.	80	95	30	
18.	T. Chandu.	80	95	30	
19.	H. Ramaswami Naidu.	80	90	20	
20.	T.V.Venkatachallam.	80	95	30	
21.	C.S. Prabhakar.	80	90	20	
22.	H.B. Kulkarni.	80	90	20	
23.	P.K.Shama Rao.	80	90	20	
24.	K.N. Kailasam.	80	90	20	
25.	B. Sheik peer	80	90	20	
26.	T.Aswatha Sastry.	80	90	20	
27.	P.S. Shama Rao.	90	95	10	
28.	G.N. Ramaiya.	80	90	20	
29.	C. Nanjundiah.	80	90	20	
30.	V.S.Rajagopal Modaliar.	80	90	20	

1	2	3	4	5	6
Messrs.					
31.	G. Narayana Murti	80	90	20	
32.	K. Sreekantiah	80	90	20	
33.	V. Jaganathan	80	90	20	
34.	S. Kalyana Sundaram	70	70	..	
35.	M. Subba Rao	75	85	20	
36.	B. Venkatesiah	75	85	20	
37.	B. Samasundaram Pillay	75	85	20	
38.	H. Sreekantiah	75	85	20	
39.	Syed Nuruddin	75	85	20	
40.	K. Krishna Murti	75	85	20	
41.	N. Narayana Rao	75	80	10	
42.	P. C. Adikalam	75	80	10	
43.	V.S. Kuppusami Mudaliar	75	80	10	
44.	R.M. Purushotham Naidu	75	80	10	
45.	K.R. Ramachandra Rao.	70	75	10	
46.	K.S. Gopala Rao	60			
47.	H.N. Doraiswami Iyengar	60			
48.	N. Seshagiri Rao	60			
49.	K.J. Subramanyam	60			
50.	S.G.K. Iyengar	60			
51.	S.M. Pantambakar	60			
52.	Syed Masum	60			
53.	S.M. Abdul Hakim	60			
54.	Syed Yusoof	60			
55.	G. Zachariah	60			
56.	D.N. Vasudeva Mudaliar	60			
57.	S.Parthasarathy	60			
58.	G.N.Srikantiah	60			
59.	J.P. Wesley	60			
60.	S. Ramakrishna	60			
61.	L. Gurunathan	60			
62.	H. Bandiah	60			

No alteration of pay is required in these cases

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
63.	R. Sadagoparamanujam	60	No alteration in pay is regd		
64.	P. K. Nair	60			

Rs. 570/- ~~xx~~ or Rs. 600/-

Extra cost Rs. 600/-

Director of Agriculture.

C.V.55-29-9 12

8261

SECRETARIAT GEN'L & REV. 29 JUNE 1931 DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

Telegraphic Address "Agri., Bangalore."
Code - 5th Edition A. B. C.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,
MYSORE STATE.

Urgent.

In reply please quote in full the Nos. and indicative symbol given below.

BANGALORE,

June 25, 1931.

Roc.746 of 28-29. Est.136 of 29-30. E/

FROM

LESLIE C. COLEMAN, ESQ., M.A., PH.D., C.I.E.,
DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To

C.V.55-29

N. Rama Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Departments, Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honour to forward herewith in continuation of this office letter No.Roc.746/28-29 dated 23-3-1931, a list of Veterinary Inspectors who have been on other duty, showing their pay as sanctioned by Government and as proposed now in the allocatio statement of Veterinary Inspectors sent with the above letter and to request you to be so good as to have the information incorporated in columns 3 and 4 of the said statement against the names of the Veterinary Inspectors. I request that you will be so good as to expedite sanction for the allocation proposals as the current financial year is coming to a close.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Director of Agriculture.

dsr

Statement of Veterinary Inspectors on other duty ~~as~~ indicating their pay.

Sl.No.	Name.	Column 3.	Column 4.
1.	Mr. B.V. Venkatachar.		Since confirmed as Asst. Superintendent from 12-4-
5.	" S.D. Achar.	Rs. 110/-	Rs. 120/-
7.	" S.R. Bapu	" 110/-	" 115/-
13.	" B. Lakshmipathy	" 90/-	" 105/-
14.	" P.U. Nair	" 100/-	" 105/-
15.	" K. Ranganatha Rao	" 95/-	" 105/-

Director of Agriculture.

la

G.R.S. App. F VI G-2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue..... 13/7/31

Signed by..... A C S Hammond

May this be

(i) Printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Sec. C.V.

File No: 55-29

Serial No. 10

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

W.G.O. No. D. 9982-10044/C.V. 50-28-5-8, 20.3.29 sanctg. a uniform cumi scale of Rs 60-5-150 cumi an offg. bar at Rs 120 for the Inspectors of the C.V.O.

Read (2) G.O. no. D. 2620-21/C.V. 55-29-7 d 3/9/30 sanctioning the allocation of the Vet. Inspectors, with effect from 30/3/29.

2. Correspondence ending with letter no. Agc 746/28-29 dt 136/29-30 d 25/6/31 from ~~Order Thereon No~~ the Dir of Agri. requesting, for reasons explained, sanction for the grant of a revised pay to certain Insp^rs of the C.V.O., as shown in the accompanying Stt, involving an extra cost of about Rs 600/-.

3. U.O. Note No 453/Spl d 5/6/31

15

from the Comptr in the matter.

order No. 1229-30 dated 12/7/31

Govt are pleased to sanction the proposals of the Dir. of Agri. as shown in the accompanying statement. The revised pay will come into effect from ~~30/12/31~~ 1.4.31.

30=3

Encl. [illegible]

9.4/3

7/7/31

Dev[illegible]

To The Dir. of Agri.
" Comptr thro' T.D.

4/7/31

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 02560 –GPB –11,250 –2-7-30

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read :—

Government Order No.D.9982-10044/C.V.50-28-5 dated 30th March 1929 sanctioning a uniform time scale of Rs 60-5-150 with an efficiency bar at Rs 120/- for the Inspectors of the Civil Veterinary Department.

2. Government Order No.D.2620-21/C.V.55-29-7 dated 3rd September 1930 sanctioning the allocation of the Veterinary Inspectors according to the grade sanctioned in the Government Order read above with effect from 30th March 1929.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No.Koc.746 of 28-29 Est.136 of 29-30 dated 25th June 1931 from the Director of Agriculture, requesting for reasons explained, sanction for the grant of a revised pay to certain Inspectors of the Civil Veterinary Department as shown in the accompanying statement involving an extra cost of about Rs 600/-.

4. Unofficial Note No.453/Spl. dated 5th June 1931 from the Comptroller in the matter.

ORDER NO: D. 279-30/C.V.55-29-10

Dated, Bangalore, the 10th July 1931.

Government are pleased to sanction the proposals of the Director of Agriculture as shown in the accompanying statement The revised pay will come into effect from 1st April 1931.

For Secretary to Government,
Development Departments.

To

The Director of Agriculture in Mysore.
" Comptroller to Government (through Financial Department)

S.R.5

ANNEXURE.

No.	Name.	Present Pay.	Sanctioned Pay.	Remarks.
Messrs:-		Rs	Rs	
1.	B.V.Venkatachar	Since confirmed as Asst. Superintendent		
2.	R.N.Pathankar	110	120	
3.	C.Narayana Iyengar.	150	100*	*Since reduced to 100 vide G.O.No.D. 8212-3/C.V.21-30-9 dated 7-2-1931.
4.	N.Krishna Iyengar.	110	120	
5.	S.D.Achar.	110	120	
6.	N.R.Sreenivasaiengar.	110	120	
7.	S.R.Bapu.	110	115	
8.	G.Subramaniyer.	105	120	
9.	L.V.Shellam Iyengar.	105	120	
10.	C.V.Govinda Rao.	90	105	
11.	C.Ranganna.	105	115	
12.	M.Sundararajaiengar.	105	120	
13.	B.Lakshmipathy.	90	105	
14.	P.U.Nair.	100	105	
15.	K.Ranganatha Rao.	95	105	
16.	N. Krishna Murthi.	95	105	
17.	M.R.Kenchiah.	80	95	
18.	T.Chandu.	80	95	
19.	H.Ramaswami Naidu.	80	90	
20.	T.V.Venkatachallam.	80	95	
21.	C.S.Prabhakar.	80	90	
22.	H.B.Kulkarni.	80	90	
23.	P.K.Shama Rao.	80	90	
24.	K.N.Kailasam.	80	90	
25.	B.Sheik Peer.	80	90	
26.	T.Aswatha Sastry.	80	90	
27.	P.S.Shama Rao	90	95	
28.	G.V.Ramaiya.	80	90	
29.	C.Nanjundiah.	80	90	
30.	V.S.Rajagopal Mudaliar.	80	90	
31.	G.Narayana Murthi.	80	90	
32.	K.Sreekantiah.	80	90	

33. V.Jaganathan	80	90
34. S.Kalyana Sundaram.	70	70
35. M. Subba Rao.	75	85
36. B.Venkatesiah.	75	85
37. B.Samasundaram Pillay.	75	85
38. H.Sreekantiah.	75	85
39. Syed Nuruddin.	75	85
40. K.Krishna Murthi.	75	85
41. N.Narayana Rao.	75	80
42. P.C.Adikalam.	75	80
43. V.S.Kuppusami Mudaliar.	75	80
44. R.M.Purushotham Naidu.	75	80
45. K.R.Ramachandra Rao.	70	75
46. K.S.Gopala Rao.	60	
47. H.N.Doraiswami Iyengar.	60	
48. N. Seshagiri Rao.	60	
49. K.J.Subramanyam.	60	
50. S.G.K.Iyengar.	60	
51. S.M.Pantambakar.	60	
52. Syed Masum.	60	
53. S.M.Abdul Hakim.	60	
54. Syed Yussof.	60	
55. G.Zachariah.	60	
56. D.N.Vasudeva Mudaliar	60	
57. S.Parthasarathy.	60	No alteration of pay is required in these cases.
58. G.N.Srikantiah.	60	
59. J.P.Wesley.	60	
60. S.Ramakrishna.	60	
61. L.Gurunathan.	60	
62. H.Bandiah.	60	
63. R. Sadagoparamanujam.	60	
64. P.K.Nair.	60	

~~Rs. 570 or Rs. 600 Not~~

For Development Secretary to Government.

S.R.-5